

Page 10 in the heading after "TABLE" add -- I -- and in column 4 ("Flexural Fatigue") delete "6.665" and add -- 6,665 -- .

In the Claims:

Claim 6 (amended) lines 8 to 10 delete "and is defined by R and R₂, by R₁ in polymeric block (B), and by the total molecular weight of the copolymer".

On pages 3, 5 and 6, and Claim 6 (amended) the definition of the number of repeating units (n) of polymeric block (A) is deleted. It is respectfully submitted that the number of repeating units of polymeric block (A) is adequately defined by the molecular weight of polymeric block (A)... Please see, e.g., this application page 4 line 30 to page 5 line 2. A further definition of the number of repeating units appears to be redundant and therefore unnecessary. Page 7 is amended to substitute the corresponding English language references. It is respectfully submitted that because this application is in the English language, it appears the public will best be served if the incorporated references are also in English. The amendments to the title and page 10 are editorial corrections. It is respectfully requested that this amendment be entered by the Examiner.

Subsequent to the filing of this application, the following reference came to the attention of the Applicant's attorney: U.S. 4,127,127 issued November 28, 1978. The reference discloses a drug delivery device comprising a drug confined in a film that is permeable to the drug. The film used in the drug delivery device is manufactured from the same or similar block polyether-esters of this application. It is